

Australian Government Australian Fisheries Management Authority

2019/0800 May 2022

Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery: 2020 and 2021



Principal investigator G.N.Tuck



Protecting our fishing future



www.afma.gov.au

Box 7051, Canberra Business Centre, ACT 2610 Tel (02) 6225 5555 Fax (02) 6225 5440

AFMA Direct 1300 723 621

© Copyright Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation ('CSIRO') Australia 2022.

All rights are reserved and no part of this publication covered by copyright may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means except with the written permission of CSIRO.

The results and analyses contained in this Report are based on a number of technical, circumstantial or otherwise specified assumptions and parameters. The user must make their own assessment of the suitability for its use of the information or material contained in or generated from the Report. To the extent permitted by law, CSIRO excludes all liability to any party for expenses, losses, damages and costs arising directly or indirectly from using this Report.

Users who require any information in a different format to facilitate equal accessibility consistent with Australia's Disability Discrimination Act may contact Geoff.Tuck@csiro.au, or CSIRO Enquiries.

Preferred way to cite this report

Tuck, G.N. (ed.) 2022. Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery 2020 and 2021. Part 1, 2021. Australian Fisheries Management Authority and CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 731p.

Acknowledgements

All authors wish to thank the science, management and industry members of the south east, GAB and shark resource assessment groups for their contributions to the work presented in this report. Authors also acknowledge support from Fish Ageing Services (for fish ageing data) and AFMA (for the on-board and port length-frequencies, and in particular John Garvey, for the log book data). Toni Cracknell is greatly thanked for her assistance with the production of this report.

Cover photographs

Front cover, jackass morwong, orange roughy, blue grenadier, and flathead.

Report structure

Part 1 of this report describes the Tier 1 assessments of 2021. Part 2 describes the Tier 4 and Tier 5 assessments, catch rate standardisations and other work contributing to the assessment and management of SESSF stocks in 2021.



Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery 2020 and 2021

Part 1: 2021

G.N. Tuck May 2022 Report 2019/0800

Australian Fisheries Management Authority

Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery: 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	NON-	TECHNICAL SUMMARY	1	
2.	BACK	GROUND	6	
3.	NEED		7	
4.	OBJE	CTIVES	7	
5.	BLUE DATA	GRENADIER (<i>MACRURONUS NOVAEZELANDIAE</i>) STOCK ASSESSMENT UP TO 2020 – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE	BASED ON 8	
	5.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	8	
	5.2	INTRODUCTION	9	
	5.3	THE FISHERY	11	
	5.4	BRIDGING METHODOLOGY	11	
	5.5	Bridge 1	12	
	5.6	BRIDGE 2	14	
	5.7	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	24	
	5.8	References	25	
	5.9	APPENDIX	26	
6.	BLUE GRENADIER (MACRURONUS NOVAEZELANDIAE) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON			
	DATA	UP TO 2020	71	
	6.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	71	
	6.2	INTRODUCTION	71	
	6.3	THE FISHERY	72	
	6.4	DATA	73	
	6.5	ANALYTICAL APPROACH	87	
	6.6	RESULTS	91	
	6.7	DISCUSSION	106	
	6.8	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	107	
	6.9	References	107	
	6.10	APPENDIX	110	
7.	EAST	ERN JACKASS MORWONG (<i>NEMADACTYLUS MACROPTERUS</i>) STOCK A	SSESSMENT	
	BASED ON DATA UP TO 2020 – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE148			
	7.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	148	
	7.2	INTRODUCTION	148	
	7.3	BRIDGING ANALYSIS	150	
	7.4	BRIDGE 1: UPDATE TO STOCK SYNTHESIS VERSION AND UPDATE CATCH HISTORY	151	
	7.5	BRIDGE 2: INCLUSION OF NEW DATA (2018-2020)	164	
	7.6	DYNAMIC B_0	178	
	7.7	FUTURE WORK AND UNRESOLVED ISSUES	180	
	7.8	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	181	
	7.9	References	181	
	7.10	APPENDIX A	183	
8.	EAST	ERN JACKASS MORWONG (<i>NEMADACTYLUS MACROPTERUS</i>) STOCK A	SSESSMENT	
	BASE	D ON DATA UP TO 2020	231	

	8.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	231
	8.2	INTRODUCTION	232
	8.3	METHODS	240
	84	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	268
	0.4 8 5	A CKNOWLEDGEMENTS	200
	0.5	ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS	220
	8.0	KEFERENCES	338
	8.7	APPENDIX A	342
9.	EASTERN ZONE ORANGE ROUGHY (<i>HOPLOSTETHUS ATLANTICUS</i>) STOCK ASSESSMEN BASED ON DATA UP TO 2020 – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE-CASE382		SESSMENT E382
	91	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	382
	0.2	BACKGROUND	384
	9.2	METHODS	207
	9.5	METHODS Design ma	307
	9.4	RESULTS	400
	9.5	DISCUSSION	439
	9.6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	440
	9.7	References	440
	9.8	APPENDIX A	443
	-		
10.	EASTEF BASED	RN ZONE ORANGE ROUGHY (<i>HOPLOSTETHUS ATLANTICUS</i>) STOCK ASS ON DATA UP TO 2020	SESSMENT 475
	10.1	FYECHTIVE SUMMARY	175
	10.1	LARCOTIVE SUMMART	475
	10.2	INTRODUCTION	4/0
	10.3	METHODS	482
	10.4	RESULTS	500
	10.5	DISCUSSION	526
	10.6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	527
	10.7	References	527
	10.8	APPENDIX A – ADDITIONAL TABLES AND FIGURES	531
	1010		001
	10.9	Appendix $B = AFMA$ Species Slimmary	543
	10.9 10.10	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY Appendix $C = $ Summary for ABARES	543 546
	10.9 10.10	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES	543 546
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY Appendix C – Summary for ABARES L WHITING (<i>SILLAGO FLINDERSI</i>) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%)	543 546 CK 548
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (<i>SILLAGO FLINDERSI</i>) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) Alternative target reference point: 40% compared to 48%	543 546 C K 548 548
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) Alternative target reference point: 40% compared to 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12 1	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION PRIDCIPIC METHODOLOGY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 DEVELOP	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 556
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 584 585
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 584
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOO MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION MICTION	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 12.4	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS DEVICE SUMMARY METHODS DEVICE SUMMARY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626 (42)
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOO MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626 642 (2)
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOO MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626 642 681
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 13.6	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 624 626 642 681 682
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 13.6 13.7	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626 642 681 682 682

14.	TIGER FLATHEAD (<i>NEOPLATYCEPHALUS RICHARDSONI</i>) PROJECTIONS BASEI UPDATES TO 2020, ESTIMATED CATCH TO 2021 AND PROJECTED CATCH SCEN 2025		
	14.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	710
	14.2	PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT AND CHANGES TO DATA	710
	14.3	ALTERNATIVE CATCH SCENARIOS	718
	14.4	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	726
	14.5	References	726
15.	. BENEFITS		728
16.	CONCLUSION		729
17.	. APPENDIX: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY		730
18.	APPENDIX: PROJECT STAFF 731		731

1. Non-Technical Summary

Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery 2020 and 2021

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:	Dr Geoffrey N. Tuck	
ADDRESS:	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosph GPO Box 1538 Hobart, TAS 7001 Australia Telephone: 03 6232 5222	ere Fax: 03 6232 5053

OBJECTIVES:

- Provide quantitative and qualitative species assessments in support of the four SESSFRAG assessment groups, including RBC calculations within the SESSF harvest strategy framework
- 2020: Provide Tier 1 assessments for Gummy Shark, Eastern Redfish and School Whiting; Tier 4 assessments for John Dory, Mirror Dory, Ocean Perch, OreoBasket, Ribaldo, Royal Red Prawn, Sawshark and Silver Trevally; and Tier 5 for Blue-eye Trevalla
- 2021: Provide Tier 1 assessments for Eastern Orange Roughy, Blue Grenadier, Eastern Jackass Morwong and Silver Warehou; Tier 4 for Mirror Dory and Tier 5 for E/W Deepwater Shark

Outcomes Achieved - 2021

The 2021 assessments of stock status of the key Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark fishery (SESSF) species are based on the methods presented in this report. Documented are the latest quantitative assessments for the SESSF quota species. Typical assessment results provide indications of current stock status, in addition to an application of the recently introduced Commonwealth fishery harvest control rules that determine a Recommended Biological Catch (RBC). These assessment outputs are a critical component of the management and Total Allowable Catch (TAC) setting process for these fisheries. The results from these studies are being used by SESSFRAG, industry and management to help manage the fishery in accordance with agreed sustainability objectives.

1.1 South East RAG Species

Blue Grenadier

This chapter updates the agreed base case for a Tier 1 assessment of Blue Grenadier (*Macruronus novaezelandiae*). The last full assessment was conducted in 2018. The 2018 assessment was updated by the inclusion of data up to the end of 2020, which entails an additional three years of catch, discard, CPUE, length and age data and ageing error updates. The agreed base case now includes estimation of both female and male natural mortality, and no longer includes the FIS survey results.

Results of the base case show reasonably good fits to the length-composition data, conditional age at length, egg and acoustic surveys and discard mass. As has been noted in previous Blue Grenadier assessments, the fit to the standardized non-spawning catch-rate index is generally poor; the model is unable to fit to the high early catch rates and over-estimates catch rates during the early 2000s. More recent catch rates fit reasonably well, including the recent marked increase in catch rate in 2019 and 2020.

The estimated time series of recruitment under the base-case parameter set shows the typical episodic nature of Blue Grenadier recruitment, with strong year-classes in 1979, the mid-1980s, 1994, and 2003, with very little recruitment between these years. However, recent recruitments are more stable, as was first observed in the 2018 assessment. The trajectories of spawning biomass show increases and decreases in spawning biomass as strong cohorts move into and out of the spawning population. For the base case model, the estimated virgin female spawning biomass (SSB_0) is 37,445 tonnes and the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 155% of SSB_0 (projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021). The 2022 recommended biological catch (RBC) under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule is 23,777 t, with 245 t estimated discards (23,532 t retained). The long-term RBC is 7,100 t, with 183 t discards.

Eastern Jackass Morwong

This chapter updates the 2018 Tier 1 assessment of eastern Jackass Morwong (*Nemadactylus macropterus*) to provide estimates of stock status in the SESSF at the start of 2022. The 2018 stock assessment has been updated with the inclusion of data up to the end of 2020, comprising an additional three years of catch, discard, CPUE, length and age data and ageing error updates, including revisions to historical catch series, length frequencies and discard rates. A range of sensitivities were explored.

The base-case assessment estimates that the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 15% of unexploited spawning stock biomass (SSB_0), with recruitment from 2016 onwards projected using a low recruitment scenario, using the average of the ten most recently estimated recruitment deviations, from 2006-2015. Under the agreed 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2022 recommended biological catch (RBC) is 0 t, with the long-term yield (assuming low recruitment in the future) of 91 t. The average RBC over the three-year period 2022-2024 is 0 t and over the five-year period 2022-2026, the average RBC is 1 t. If recruitment from 2016 onwards is assumed to be average, the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass would be 22% of SSB_0 .

The updated assessment produces markedly different results from the 2018 assessment, under both the average and the low recruitment scenarios. This is due to downward revisions to the 13 of most recent 15 years of recruitment estimates from the 2018 assessment (for the period 1998-2012), poor recruitment estimates for the three new years of recruitment estimated in the 2021 assessment (for the years 2013-2015), a continuing decline in recent catches, a continuing decline in the recent CPUE

indices and an improved fit to the most recent CPUE data points, partly due to the implementation of a low recruitment scenario.

Eastern Orange Roughy

This chapter updates the 2017 eastern zone Orange Roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) stock assessment to include revised modelling assumptions and new data for 2020. The objective of the 2021 assessment is to account for the uncertainty in *M* by estimating it within the assessment using an informative prior developed from New Zealand Orange Roughy assessments.

The 2021 base-case assessment updates the 2017 assessment with recent catch, relative estimates of female spawning biomass from the 2019 acoustic towed surveys at St Helens Hill and St Patricks Head, and new age composition data from the 2019 acoustic survey. Two major changes were made to the previous assessment: natural mortality is now estimated within the assessment and the plus-group are increased from 80 to 120 years.

The median estimate of unfished female spawning biomass from the MCMC analysis was 38,924 t, slightly lower than the MPD estimate of 40,479 t. The current 2022 female spawning biomass is estimated to be 11,644 t from the MCMC and 13,126 t from the MPD. Relative spawning biomass in 2022 is estimated at 30% of unfished levels from the MCMC and 32.4% of unfished levels from the MPD. Natural mortality was successfully estimated within the assessment. The median estimate of natural mortality from the MCMC analysis is M=0.0393 yr⁻¹, which is slightly higher than the MPD estimate of M=0.0386 yr⁻¹. The recommended biological catch (RBC) for 2022 from the MCMC analysis is 681 t, lower than the MPD estimate for 2022 of 944 t. The average RBC over the next three years (2022-2024) is 737 t from the MCMC analysis and 1,025 t from the MPD. There is a high level of uncertainty in the estimated RBC, with the 75% and 95% credible intervals from the MCMC analysis for the 2022 RBC being 287–1,316 t and 119–1,645 t respectively.

Further MCMC analysis was undertaken to evaluate scenarios of fixed catch projections of 550, 650, 737, 850 and 950 t yr⁻¹ and a catch scenario proposed by industry of 1,166 t in 2022, 1,055 t in 2023 and 950 t yr⁻¹ thereafter. The projections show that female spawning biomass is estimated to increase under all the fixed catch scenarios considered with the probability of the stock being below the limit reference point of 20% unfished spawning biomass in both 2024 and 2031 being less than 0.5%. Under the lowest constant catch scenario of 550 t yr⁻¹, stock status is estimated to be 0.317 and 0.348 in 2024 and 2031 respectively. Under the highest constant catch scenario of 950 t yr⁻¹, stock status is estimated to be 0.312 and 0.323 in 2024 and 2031 respectively. Under the industry proposed scenario stock status estimated to be 0.309 and 0.321 in 2024 and 2031 respectively. When the SESSF harvest control rule is used to set RBCs, the stock status is estimated to be 0.316 and 0.330 in 2024 and 2031 respectively.

School Whiting

This chapter presents School Whiting (*Sillago flindersi*) RBC projections from the 2020 stock assessment using a modified target MEY reference proxy of 40% instead of 48%. The 2020 School Whiting stock assessment estimates that current spawning stock biomass (at the beginning of 2021) is 41% of unexploited spawning stock biomass (*SSB*₀). Under the agreed 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2021 recommended biological catch (RBC) is 2,140 t. The RBC averaged over the three-year period of 2021-2023 is 2,237 t.

If the default (proxy) target reference point (48%) used in the SESSF harvest control rule, and specifically as used by AFMA for School Whiting, is reduced to 40%, a modified 20:35:40 harvest

control rule can be applied. This lower target allows the stock to be fished to a lower target biomass (40% of unfished spawning stock biomass (SSB_0)). Under a revised 40% target, the 2021 recommended biological catch (RBC) would be 2,753 t. The RBC, calculated under a 20:35:40 harvest control rule, averaged over the three-year period of 2021-2023 is 2,730 t.

Silver Warehou

This chapter presents a quantitative Tier 1 assessment of Silver Warehou (*Seriolella punctata*) to provide stock status estimates at the start of 2022 and describes the base case. The 2018 base case has been updated with the inclusion of data up to the end of 2020, which entails an additional three years of catch, discard, CPUE, length and age data, along with ageing error updates, revisions to historical catch series, length frequencies and discard rates.

The assessment estimates that the projected 2022 stock status will be 29% of unfished spawning stock biomass (SSB_0) , projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021, with recruitment from 2016 onwards assumed to be below average, fixed at the average of 2011-2015 levels. The assessment suggests that stock status was as low as 21% of SSB_0 in 2016. Under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2022 recommended biological catch (RBC) is 587 t, while the long-term yield (assuming continuation of low recruitment) is 591 t. The average RBC over the three-year period 2022-2024 is 581 t.

This assessment has seen a continuation of below average recruitment noted in the last three assessments with the last 12 years of estimated recruitment all below average. This continuation of below average recruitment resulted in the base case for this assessment moving to low recruitments projected forward from 2016. This change reduced the severity of retrospective patterns observed in previous assessments.

Tiger Flathead

This chapter presents results of fixed catch projections for Tiger Flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) to provide information on possible projected stock status in light of changes to both catches and CPUE following the 2019 Tiger Flathead stock assessment.

Updated data used from the 2019 assessment, including preliminary catch (combined Commonwealth and state catch) for 2019-2020, estimated 2021 catch and updated CPUE series to the end of 2020 were included in this analysis. Updates to age and length composition data were not available and were not included. These updates to catch and CPUE alone resulted in a revision downwards to the 2020 stock status, from 34% in the last stock assessment to 32% in this analysis. These changes are due to revisions to the catches (2017-2021) and to the revised CPUE series, which has a downturn at the end of the time series (2019-2020) for the Danish seine CPUE. The eastern trawl and Tasmanian trawl CPUE series do not show the same downturn at the end of the CPUE series as Danish seine, with both trawl CPUE relatively flat in the period 2019-2020. Projecting forward to 2022 takes the stock status to 35% at the start of 2022, and this is expected to recover to 37% at the start of 2025, assuming that the RBC is caught in 2023 and 2024 and there is average recruitment from 2017 onwards. Changes to the projected stock status when the 2019 base case is updated are a consistent 1% reduction in stock status in the period 2020-2025, assuming the RBC is caught each year.

KEYWORDS: fishery management, southern and eastern scalefish and shark fishery, stock assessment, trawl fishery, non-trawl fishery

2. Background

The Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF) is a Commonwealth-managed, multispecies and multi-gear fishery that catches over 80 species of commercial value and is the main provider of fresh fish to the Sydney and Melbourne markets. Precursors of this fishery have been operating for more than 85 years. Catches are taken from both inshore and offshore waters, as well as offshore seamounts, and the fishery extends from Fraser Island in Queensland to south west Western Australia.

Management of the SESSF is based on a mixture of input and output controls, with over 20 commercial species or species groups currently under quota management. For the previous South East Fishery (SEF), there were 17 species or species groups managed using TACs. Five of these species had their own species assessment groups (SAGs) – Orange Roughy (ORAG), Eastern Gemfish (EGAG), Blue Grenadier (BGAG), Blue Warehou (BWAG), and Redfish (RAG). The assessment groups comprise scientists, fishers, managers and (sometimes) conservation members, meeting several times in a year, and producing an annual stock assessment report based on quantitative species assessments. The previous Southern Shark Fishery (SSF), with its own assessment group (SharkRAG), harvested two main species (Gummy and School Shark), but with significant catches of Saw Shark and Elephantfish.

In 2003, these assessment groups were restructured and their terms of reference redefined. Part of the rationale for the amalgamation of the previous separately managed fisheries was to move towards a more ecosystem-based system of fishery management (EBFM) for this suite of fisheries, which overlap in area and exploit a common set of species. The restructure of the assessment groups was undertaken to better reflect the ecological system on which the fishery rests. To that end, the assessment group structure now comprises:

- SESSFRAG (an umbrella assessment group for the whole SESSF)
- South East Resource Assessment Group (slope, shelf and deep water species)
- Shark Resource Assessment Group (shark species)
- Great Australian Bight Resource Assessment Group (GAB species)

Each of the depth-related assessment groups is responsible for undertaking stock assessments for a suite of key species, and for reporting on the status of those species to SESSFRAG. The plan for the Resource Assessment Groups (South East, GAB and Shark RAGs) is to focus on suites of species, rather than on each species in isolation. This approach has helped to identify common factors affecting these species (such as environmental conditions), as well as consideration of marketing and management factors on key indicators such as catch rates.

The quantitative assessments produced annually by the Resource Assessment Groups are a key component of the TAC setting process for the SESSF. For assessment purposes, stocks of the SESSF currently fall under a Tier system whereby those with better quality data and more robust assessments fall under Tier 1, while those with less reliable available information are in Tiers 4 and 5. To support the assessment work of the four Resource Assessment Groups, the aims of the work conducted in this report were to develop new assessments if necessary (under all Tier levels), and update and improve existing ones for priority species in the SESSF.

3. Need

A stock assessment that includes the most up-to-date information and considers a range of hypotheses about the resource dynamics and the associated fisheries is a key need for the management of a resource. In particular, the information contained in a stock assessment is critical for selecting harvest strategies and setting Total Allowable Catches.

4. Objectives

These Objectives include a description of the SESSFRAG agreed changes to the assessment schedule and may differ from the objectives in the original contract:

- Provide quantitative and qualitative species assessments in support of the four SESSFRAG assessment groups, including RBC calculations within the SESSF harvest strategy framework
- 2020: Provide Tier 1 assessments for Gummy Shark, Eastern Redfish and School Whiting; Tier 4 assessments for John Dory, Mirror Dory, Ocean Perch, OreoBasket, Ribaldo, Royal Red Prawn, Sawshark and Silver Trevally; and Tier 5 for Blue-eye Trevalla
- 2021: Provide Tier 1 assessments for Eastern Orange Roughy, Blue Grenadier, Eastern Jackass Morwong and Silver Warehou; Tier 4 for Mirror Dory and Tier 5 for E/W Deepwater Shark

11. School Whiting (*Sillago flindersi*) RBC projections from 2020 stock assessment – using modified target MEY reference proxy (40%)

Jemery Day

CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Castray Esplanade, Hobart TAS 7000, Australia

11.1 Alternative target reference point: 40% compared to 48%

11.1.1 Projected RBCs

11.1.1.1 20:35:48 harvest controle rule

The 2020 School Whiting stock assessment (Day et al. 2020) estimates that current spawning stock biomass (at the beginning of 2021) is 41% of unexploited spawning stock biomass (SSB_0). Under the agreed 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2021 recommended biological catch (RBC) is 2,140 t (Table 11.1, reproduced from Day et al. 2020) and the long-term yield (assuming average recruitment in the future) is 2,448 t (Table 11.2, reproduced from Day et al. 2020). The RBCs for the base case are listed for each individual year from 2021-2025 in Table 11.1. The RBC averaged over the three-year period of 2021-2023 is 2,237 t (Table 11.2) and over the five-year period 2021-2025, is 2,295 t (Table 11.2).

Table 11.1. Yearly projected RBCs (tonnes) across all fleets under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule assuming average recruitment from 2017.

Year	RBC (t)
2021	2,140
2022	2,250
2023	2,321
2024	2,368
2025	2,398

Table 11.2. Projected recommended biological catches (RBCs) for the five-fleet model under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule for: 2021; the three-year average from 2021-2023; the five-year average for 2021-2025; and the long-term RBC (from 2039).

Period	RBC (t)
1-year: 2021	2,140
3-year average: 2021-2023	2,237
5-year average: 2021-2025	2,295
long-term: 2039	2,448

11.1.1.2 20:35:40 harvest control rule

If the default (proxy) target reference point (48%) used in the SESSF harvest control rule, and specifically as used by AFMA for School Whiting, is reduced to 40%, a modified 20:35:40 harvest control rule can be applied. This lower target allows the stock to be fished to a lower target biomass (40% of unfished spawning stock biomass (SSB_0)). Such a reduced target would allow a greater catch to be taken and allows the stock to be fished down to a lower relative spawning stock biomass. However, reducing the target biomass is also likely to increase the probability of the stock falling

below the limit reference point, 20% of SSB_0 . Quantifying the increase in risk to the stock would probably require MCMC analysis of the 2020 School Whiting assessment, using both forms of this harvest control rule. Such analysis is beyond the scope of this report.

Under a revised 40% target, the 2021 recommended biological catch (RBC) would be 2,753 t (Table 11.3) and the long-term yield (assuming average recruitment in the future) is 2,723 t (Table 11.4). The RBCs for the base case, with a 20:35:40 harvest control rule, are listed for each individual year from 2021-2025 in Table 11.3. The RBC, calculated under a 20:35:40 harvest control rule, averaged over the three-year period of 2021-2023 is 2,730 t (Table 11.4) and over the five-year period, 2021-2025, is 2,727 t (Table 11.4).

Table 11.3. Yearly projected RBCs (tonnes) across all fleets under the 20:35:40 harvest control rule assuming average recruitment from 2017.

RBC (t)
2,753
2,721
2,717
2,721
2,722

Table 11.4. Projected recommended biological catches (RBCs) for the five-fleet model under the 20:35:40 harvest control rule for: 2021; the three-year average from 2021-2023; the five-year average for 2021-2025; and the long-term RBC (from 2039).

Period	RBC (t)
1-year: 2021	2,753
3-year average: 2021-2023	2,730
5-year average: 2021-2025	2,727
long-term: 2039	2,723

Figure 11.1 shows the relative spawning biomass for both forms of the harvest control rule, with differences only occurring from 2022 onwards, as expected. Figure 11.2 shows a time series of 1-SPR ratio, a proxy of fishing mortality, integrating fishing mortality across fleets in the fishery for School Whiting using the 20:35:48 harvest control rule. This is indicative of years where fishing is above and below the target fishing mortality (F_{48}). Figure 11.3 shows a time series of 1-SPR ratio, for School Whiting using the 20:35:40 harvest control rule, which clearly demonstrates a higher target fishing mortality than Figure 11.2, but with the same relative pattern in the time series.



Figure 11.1. Comparison of the relative spawning biomass time series for School Whiting using the 20:35:48 harvest control rule (WHS2020NSW_Tuned – in blue) and using the 20:35:48 harvest control rule (WHS2020NSW_TunedNSWtarget40 in red).



Figure 11.2. Time series of 1-SPR ratio, a proxy for fishing mortality, integrating fishing mortality across fleets in the fishery for School Whiting using the 20:35:48 harvest control rule.



Figure 11.3. Time series of 1-SPR ratio, a proxy for fishing mortality, integrating fishing mortality across fleets in the fishery for School Whiting using the 20:35:40 harvest control rule.

11.1.2 Referfences

Day J, Hall K, Bessell-Browne P and Sporcic M 2020. School Whiting (*Sillago flindersi*) stock assessment based on data to 2019. Unpublished report to SERAG. 158 pp.

15. Benefits

The results of this project have had a direct bearing on the management of the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery. Direct benefits to the commercial fishing industry in the SESSF have arisen from improvements to, or the development of, assessments under the various Tier Rules of the Commonwealth Harvest Strategy Policy for selected quota and non-quota species. Information from the stock assessments has fed directly into the TAC setting process for SESSF quota species. As specific and agreed harvest strategies are being developed for SESSF species (a process required by and agreed to under EPBC approval for the fishery), improvements in the assessments developed under this project have had direct and immediate impacts on quota levels or other fishery management measures (in the case of non-quota species).

Participation by the project's staff on the SESSF Resource Assessment Groups has enabled the production of critical assessment reports and clear communication of the reports' results to a wide audience (including managers, industry). Project staff's scientific advice on quantitative and qualitative matters is also clearly valued.

The stock assessments presented in this report have provided managers and industry greater confidence when making key commercial and sustainability decisions for species in the SESSF. These assessments have provided the most up-to-date information, in terms of data and methods, to facilitate the management of the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery.

16. Conclusion

The 2021 assessment of the stock status of key Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark fishery species is based on the methods presented in this report. Documented are the latest quantitative assessments (Tier 1) for key quota species (Blue Grenadier, Silver Warehou, Eastern Jackass Morwong and Eastern Zone Orange Roughy), projection updates for School Whiting and Tiger Flathead, as well as CPUE standardisations for shelf, slope, deepwater and shark species, Tier 4 and Tier 5 analyses. Typical assessment outputs provided indications of current stock status and an application of the Commonwealth Harvest Strategy framework. This framework is based on a set of assessment methods and associated harvest control rules, with the decision to apply a particular combination dependent on the type and quality of information available to determine stock status (Tiers 1 to 5).

The assessment outputs from this project are a critical component of the management and TAC setting process for these fisheries. The results from these studies are being used by SESSFRAG, industry and management to help manage the fishery in accordance with agreed sustainability objectives.

Stock status and Recommended Biological Catch (RBC) conclusions (Tier 1):

For Blue Grenadier, the estimated virgin female spawning biomass (SSB_0) is 37,445 tonnes and the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 155% of SSB_0 (projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021). The 2022 recommended biological catch (RBC) under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule is 23,777 t, with 245 t estimated discards (23,532 t retained). The long-term RBC is 7,100 t, with 183 t discards.

For Eastern Jackass Morwong, the base-case assessment estimates that the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 15% of SSB_0 , with recruitment from 2016 onwards projected using a low recruitment scenario, using the average of the ten most recently estimated recruitment deviations, from 2006-2015. Under the agreed 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2022 RBC is 0 t, with the long-term yield (assuming low recruitment in the future) of 91 t.

For Eastern Orange Roughy, the median estimate of SSB_0 from the MCMC analysis was 38,924 t, slightly lower than the MPD estimate of 40,479 t. The current 2022 female spawning biomass is estimated to be 11,644 t from the MCMC and 13,126 t from the MPD. Relative spawning biomass in 2022 is estimated at 30.0% of unfished levels from the MCMC and 32.4% of unfished levels from the MPD. The RBC for 2022 from the MCMC analysis is 681 t, lower than the MPD estimate for 2022 of 944 t. The average RBC over the next three years (2022-2024) is 737 t from the MCMC analysis and 1,025 t from the MPD.

For Silver Warehou, the assessment estimates that the projected 2022 stock status will be 29% of SSB_0 , projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021, with recruitment from 2016 onwards assumed to be below average, fixed at the average of 2011-2015 levels. The assessment suggests that stock status was as low as 21% of SSB_0 in 2016. Under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2022 RBC is 587 t, while the long-term yield (assuming continuation of low recruitment) is 591 t.

For School Whiting, if the default (proxy) target reference point (48%) used in the SESSF harvest control rule, and specifically as used by AFMA for School Whiting, is reduced to 40%, a modified 20:35:40 harvest control rule can be applied. This lower target allows the stock to be fished to a lower target biomass (40% of *SSB*₀). Under a revised 40% target, the 2021 RBC would be 2,753 t.

For Tiger Flathead, updates to catch and CPUE resulted in a revision downwards to the 2020 stock status, from 34% in the last stock assessment to 32% in this analysis. These changes are due to revisions to the catches (2017-2021) and to the revised CPUE series, which has a downturn at the end of the time series (2019-2020) for the Danish seine CPUE. The eastern trawl and Tasmanian trawl CPUE series do not show the same downturn at the end of the CPUE series as Danish seine, with both trawl CPUE relatively flat in the period 2019-2020. Projecting forward to 2022 takes the stock status to 35% at the start of 2022, and this is expected to recover to 37% at the start of 2025, assuming that the RBC is caught in 2023 and 2024 and there is average recruitment from 2017 onwards

17. Appendix: Intellectual Property

No intellectual property has arisen from the project that is likely to lead to significant commercial benefits, patents or licenses.

18. Appendix: Project Staff

Franzis Althaus	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
Pia Bessell-Browne	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
Paul Burch	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
Jemery Day	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
Roy Deng	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
Mike Fuller	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
André Punt	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
Miriana Sporcic	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
Robin Thomson	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania
Geoff Tuck	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania