

Australian Government Australian Fisheries Management Authority

2019/0800 May 2022

Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery: 2020 and 2021



Principal investigator G.N.Tuck



Protecting our fishing future



www.afma.gov.au

Box 7051, Canberra Business Centre, ACT 2610 Tel (02) 6225 5555 Fax (02) 6225 5440

AFMA Direct 1300 723 621

© Copyright Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation ('CSIRO') Australia 2022.

All rights are reserved and no part of this publication covered by copyright may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means except with the written permission of CSIRO.

The results and analyses contained in this Report are based on a number of technical, circumstantial or otherwise specified assumptions and parameters. The user must make their own assessment of the suitability for its use of the information or material contained in or generated from the Report. To the extent permitted by law, CSIRO excludes all liability to any party for expenses, losses, damages and costs arising directly or indirectly from using this Report.

Users who require any information in a different format to facilitate equal accessibility consistent with Australia's Disability Discrimination Act may contact Geoff.Tuck@csiro.au, or CSIRO Enquiries.

Preferred way to cite this report

Tuck, G.N. (ed.) 2022. Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery 2020 and 2021. Part 1, 2021. Australian Fisheries Management Authority and CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 731p.

Acknowledgements

All authors wish to thank the science, management and industry members of the south east, GAB and shark resource assessment groups for their contributions to the work presented in this report. Authors also acknowledge support from Fish Ageing Services (for fish ageing data) and AFMA (for the on-board and port length-frequencies, and in particular John Garvey, for the log book data). Toni Cracknell is greatly thanked for her assistance with the production of this report.

Cover photographs

Front cover, jackass morwong, orange roughy, blue grenadier, and flathead.

Report structure

Part 1 of this report describes the Tier 1 assessments of 2021. Part 2 describes the Tier 4 and Tier 5 assessments, catch rate standardisations and other work contributing to the assessment and management of SESSF stocks in 2021.



Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery 2020 and 2021

Part 1: 2021

G.N. Tuck May 2022 Report 2019/0800

Australian Fisheries Management Authority

Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery: 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY							
2.	BACKGROUND							
3.	NEED							
4.	OBJE	CTIVES	7					
5.	BLUE DATA	GRENADIER (<i>MACRURONUS NOVAEZELANDIAE</i>) STOCK ASSESSMENT UP TO 2020 – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE	BASED ON 8					
	5.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	8					
	5.2	INTRODUCTION	9					
	5.3	THE FISHERY	11					
	5.4	BRIDGING METHODOLOGY	11					
	5.5	Bridge 1	12					
	5.6	BRIDGE 2	14					
	5.7	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	24					
	5.8	References	25					
	5.9	APPENDIX	26					
6.	BLUE	GRENADIER (MACRURONUS NOVAEZELANDIAE) STOCK ASSESSMENT	BASED ON					
	DATA	UP TO 2020	71					
	6.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	71					
	6.2	INTRODUCTION	71					
	6.3	THE FISHERY	72					
	6.4	DATA	73					
	6.5	ANALYTICAL APPROACH	87					
	6.6	RESULTS	91					
	6.7	DISCUSSION	106					
	6.8	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	107					
	6.9	References	107					
	6.10	APPENDIX	110					
7.	EAST	ERN JACKASS MORWONG (<i>NEMADACTYLUS MACROPTERUS</i>) STOCK A	SSESSMENT					
	BASE	D ON DATA UP TO 2020 – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CA	SE148					
	7.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	148					
	7.2	INTRODUCTION	148					
	7.3	BRIDGING ANALYSIS	150					
	7.4	BRIDGE 1: UPDATE TO STOCK SYNTHESIS VERSION AND UPDATE CATCH HISTORY	151					
	7.5	BRIDGE 2: INCLUSION OF NEW DATA (2018-2020)	164					
	7.6	DYNAMIC B_0	178					
	7.7	FUTURE WORK AND UNRESOLVED ISSUES	180					
	7.8	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	181					
	7.9	References	181					
	7.10	APPENDIX A	183					
8.	EAST	ERN JACKASS MORWONG (<i>NEMADACTYLUS MACROPTERUS</i>) STOCK A	SSESSMENT					
	BASE	D ON DATA UP TO 2020	231					

	8.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	231
	8.2	INTRODUCTION	232
	8.3	METHODS	240
	84	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	268
	0.4 8 5	A CKNOWLEDGEMENTS	200
	0.5	ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS	220
	8.0	KEFERENCES	338
	8.7	APPENDIX A	342
9.	EASTEF BASED	RN ZONE ORANGE ROUGHY (<i>HOPLOSTETHUS ATLANTICUS</i>) STOCK ASS ON DATA UP TO 2020 – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE-CASS	SESSMENT E382
	91	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	382
	0.2	BACKGROUND	384
	9.2	METHODS	207
	9.5	METHODS Design ma	307
	9.4	RESULTS	400
	9.5	DISCUSSION	439
	9.6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	440
	9.7	References	440
	9.8	APPENDIX A	443
	-		
10.	EASTEF BASED	RN ZONE ORANGE ROUGHY (<i>HOPLOSTETHUS ATLANTICUS</i>) STOCK ASS ON DATA UP TO 2020	SESSMENT 475
	10.1	FYECHTIVE SUMMARY	175
	10.1	LARCOTIVE SUMMART	475
	10.2	INTRODUCTION	4/0
	10.3	METHODS	482
	10.4	RESULTS	500
	10.5	DISCUSSION	526
	10.6	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	527
	10.7	References	527
	10.8	APPENDIX A – ADDITIONAL TABLES AND FIGURES	531
	10.9	APPENDIX $B - AFMA$ Species Summary	543
	10.9 10.10	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY Appendix C – Summary for ABARES	543 546
	10.9 10.10	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES	543 546
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY Appendix C – Summary for ABARES L WHITING (<i>SILLAGO FLINDERSI</i>) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%)	543 546 CK 548
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) Alternative target reference point: 40% compared to 48%	543 546 CK 548 548
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) Alternative target reference point: 40% compared to 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12 1	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION PRIDCIPIC METHODOLOGY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 DEVELOP	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 566
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560
11. 12.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 556 560 572 584
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 584 585
11.	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 584
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOO MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION MICTION	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 12.4	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS DATA WAREHODS DATA WAREHON (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON METHODS DATA WAREHON (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS DATA WAREHON (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626 (42)
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOO MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626 642 (2)
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOO MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 623 624 626 642 681
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 13.6	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 624 626 642 681 682
 11. 12. 13. 	10.9 10.10 SCHOO ASSESS 11.1 SILVER TO 2020 12.1 12.2 12.3 12.4 12.5 12.6 12.7 12.8 12.9 SILVER TO 2020 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 13.6 13.7	APPENDIX B – AFMA SPECIES SUMMARY APPENDIX C – SUMMARY FOR ABARES L WHITING (SILLAGO FLINDERSI) RBC PROJECTIONS FROM 2020 STOC MENT – USING MODIFIED TARGET MEY REFERENCE PROXY (40%) ALTERNATIVE TARGET REFERENCE POINT: 40% COMPARED TO 48% WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON – DEVELOPMENT OF A PRELIMINARY BASE CASE EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION BRIDGING METHODOLOGY BRIDGE 1 BRIDGE 2 BRIDGE 3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES APPENDIX WAREHOU (SERIOLELLA PUNCTATA) STOCK ASSESSMENT BASED ON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION METHODS RESULTS DISCUSSION ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS REFERENCES	543 546 CK 548 548 DATA UP 553 553 554 555 556 560 572 584 584 585 DATA UP 623 624 626 642 681 682 682

14.	TIGEI UPDA 2025	R FLATHEAD (<i>NEOPLATYCEPHALUS RICHARDSONI</i>) PROJE TES TO 2020, ESTIMATED CATCH TO 2021 AND PROJECTE	ECTIONS BASED ON CPUE D CATCH SCENARIOS TO 710			
	14.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	710			
	14.2	PREVIOUS ASSESSMENT AND CHANGES TO DATA	710			
	14.3	ALTERNATIVE CATCH SCENARIOS	718			
	14.4	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	726			
	14.5	References	726			
15.	BENE	FITS	728			
16.	CONC	729				
17.	. APPENDIX: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY					
18.	. APPENDIX: PROJECT STAFF 731					

1. Non-Technical Summary

Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery 2020 and 2021

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:	Dr Geoffrey N. Tuck				
ADDRESS:	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosph GPO Box 1538 Hobart, TAS 7001 Australia Telephone: 03 6232 5222	ere Fax: 03 6232 5053			

OBJECTIVES:

- Provide quantitative and qualitative species assessments in support of the four SESSFRAG assessment groups, including RBC calculations within the SESSF harvest strategy framework
- 2020: Provide Tier 1 assessments for Gummy Shark, Eastern Redfish and School Whiting; Tier 4 assessments for John Dory, Mirror Dory, Ocean Perch, OreoBasket, Ribaldo, Royal Red Prawn, Sawshark and Silver Trevally; and Tier 5 for Blue-eye Trevalla
- 2021: Provide Tier 1 assessments for Eastern Orange Roughy, Blue Grenadier, Eastern Jackass Morwong and Silver Warehou; Tier 4 for Mirror Dory and Tier 5 for E/W Deepwater Shark

Outcomes Achieved - 2021

The 2021 assessments of stock status of the key Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark fishery (SESSF) species are based on the methods presented in this report. Documented are the latest quantitative assessments for the SESSF quota species. Typical assessment results provide indications of current stock status, in addition to an application of the recently introduced Commonwealth fishery harvest control rules that determine a Recommended Biological Catch (RBC). These assessment outputs are a critical component of the management and Total Allowable Catch (TAC) setting process for these fisheries. The results from these studies are being used by SESSFRAG, industry and management to help manage the fishery in accordance with agreed sustainability objectives.

1.1 South East RAG Species

Blue Grenadier

This chapter updates the agreed base case for a Tier 1 assessment of Blue Grenadier (*Macruronus novaezelandiae*). The last full assessment was conducted in 2018. The 2018 assessment was updated by the inclusion of data up to the end of 2020, which entails an additional three years of catch, discard, CPUE, length and age data and ageing error updates. The agreed base case now includes estimation of both female and male natural mortality, and no longer includes the FIS survey results.

Results of the base case show reasonably good fits to the length-composition data, conditional age at length, egg and acoustic surveys and discard mass. As has been noted in previous Blue Grenadier assessments, the fit to the standardized non-spawning catch-rate index is generally poor; the model is unable to fit to the high early catch rates and over-estimates catch rates during the early 2000s. More recent catch rates fit reasonably well, including the recent marked increase in catch rate in 2019 and 2020.

The estimated time series of recruitment under the base-case parameter set shows the typical episodic nature of Blue Grenadier recruitment, with strong year-classes in 1979, the mid-1980s, 1994, and 2003, with very little recruitment between these years. However, recent recruitments are more stable, as was first observed in the 2018 assessment. The trajectories of spawning biomass show increases and decreases in spawning biomass as strong cohorts move into and out of the spawning population. For the base case model, the estimated virgin female spawning biomass (SSB_0) is 37,445 tonnes and the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 155% of SSB_0 (projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021). The 2022 recommended biological catch (RBC) under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule is 23,777 t, with 245 t estimated discards (23,532 t retained). The long-term RBC is 7,100 t, with 183 t discards.

Eastern Jackass Morwong

This chapter updates the 2018 Tier 1 assessment of eastern Jackass Morwong (*Nemadactylus macropterus*) to provide estimates of stock status in the SESSF at the start of 2022. The 2018 stock assessment has been updated with the inclusion of data up to the end of 2020, comprising an additional three years of catch, discard, CPUE, length and age data and ageing error updates, including revisions to historical catch series, length frequencies and discard rates. A range of sensitivities were explored.

The base-case assessment estimates that the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 15% of unexploited spawning stock biomass (SSB_0), with recruitment from 2016 onwards projected using a low recruitment scenario, using the average of the ten most recently estimated recruitment deviations, from 2006-2015. Under the agreed 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2022 recommended biological catch (RBC) is 0 t, with the long-term yield (assuming low recruitment in the future) of 91 t. The average RBC over the three-year period 2022-2024 is 0 t and over the five-year period 2022-2026, the average RBC is 1 t. If recruitment from 2016 onwards is assumed to be average, the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass would be 22% of SSB_0 .

The updated assessment produces markedly different results from the 2018 assessment, under both the average and the low recruitment scenarios. This is due to downward revisions to the 13 of most recent 15 years of recruitment estimates from the 2018 assessment (for the period 1998-2012), poor recruitment estimates for the three new years of recruitment estimated in the 2021 assessment (for the years 2013-2015), a continuing decline in recent catches, a continuing decline in the recent CPUE

indices and an improved fit to the most recent CPUE data points, partly due to the implementation of a low recruitment scenario.

Eastern Orange Roughy

This chapter updates the 2017 eastern zone Orange Roughy (*Hoplostethus atlanticus*) stock assessment to include revised modelling assumptions and new data for 2020. The objective of the 2021 assessment is to account for the uncertainty in *M* by estimating it within the assessment using an informative prior developed from New Zealand Orange Roughy assessments.

The 2021 base-case assessment updates the 2017 assessment with recent catch, relative estimates of female spawning biomass from the 2019 acoustic towed surveys at St Helens Hill and St Patricks Head, and new age composition data from the 2019 acoustic survey. Two major changes were made to the previous assessment: natural mortality is now estimated within the assessment and the plus-group are increased from 80 to 120 years.

The median estimate of unfished female spawning biomass from the MCMC analysis was 38,924 t, slightly lower than the MPD estimate of 40,479 t. The current 2022 female spawning biomass is estimated to be 11,644 t from the MCMC and 13,126 t from the MPD. Relative spawning biomass in 2022 is estimated at 30% of unfished levels from the MCMC and 32.4% of unfished levels from the MPD. Natural mortality was successfully estimated within the assessment. The median estimate of natural mortality from the MCMC analysis is M=0.0393 yr⁻¹, which is slightly higher than the MPD estimate of M=0.0386 yr⁻¹. The recommended biological catch (RBC) for 2022 from the MCMC analysis is 681 t, lower than the MPD estimate for 2022 of 944 t. The average RBC over the next three years (2022-2024) is 737 t from the MCMC analysis and 1,025 t from the MPD. There is a high level of uncertainty in the estimated RBC, with the 75% and 95% credible intervals from the MCMC analysis for the 2022 RBC being 287–1,316 t and 119–1,645 t respectively.

Further MCMC analysis was undertaken to evaluate scenarios of fixed catch projections of 550, 650, 737, 850 and 950 t yr⁻¹ and a catch scenario proposed by industry of 1,166 t in 2022, 1,055 t in 2023 and 950 t yr⁻¹ thereafter. The projections show that female spawning biomass is estimated to increase under all the fixed catch scenarios considered with the probability of the stock being below the limit reference point of 20% unfished spawning biomass in both 2024 and 2031 being less than 0.5%. Under the lowest constant catch scenario of 550 t yr⁻¹, stock status is estimated to be 0.317 and 0.348 in 2024 and 2031 respectively. Under the highest constant catch scenario of 950 t yr⁻¹, stock status is estimated to be 0.312 and 0.323 in 2024 and 2031 respectively. Under the industry proposed scenario stock status estimated to be 0.309 and 0.321 in 2024 and 2031 respectively. When the SESSF harvest control rule is used to set RBCs, the stock status is estimated to be 0.316 and 0.330 in 2024 and 2031 respectively.

School Whiting

This chapter presents School Whiting (*Sillago flindersi*) RBC projections from the 2020 stock assessment using a modified target MEY reference proxy of 40% instead of 48%. The 2020 School Whiting stock assessment estimates that current spawning stock biomass (at the beginning of 2021) is 41% of unexploited spawning stock biomass (*SSB*₀). Under the agreed 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2021 recommended biological catch (RBC) is 2,140 t. The RBC averaged over the three-year period of 2021-2023 is 2,237 t.

If the default (proxy) target reference point (48%) used in the SESSF harvest control rule, and specifically as used by AFMA for School Whiting, is reduced to 40%, a modified 20:35:40 harvest

control rule can be applied. This lower target allows the stock to be fished to a lower target biomass (40% of unfished spawning stock biomass (SSB_0)). Under a revised 40% target, the 2021 recommended biological catch (RBC) would be 2,753 t. The RBC, calculated under a 20:35:40 harvest control rule, averaged over the three-year period of 2021-2023 is 2,730 t.

Silver Warehou

This chapter presents a quantitative Tier 1 assessment of Silver Warehou (*Seriolella punctata*) to provide stock status estimates at the start of 2022 and describes the base case. The 2018 base case has been updated with the inclusion of data up to the end of 2020, which entails an additional three years of catch, discard, CPUE, length and age data, along with ageing error updates, revisions to historical catch series, length frequencies and discard rates.

The assessment estimates that the projected 2022 stock status will be 29% of unfished spawning stock biomass (SSB_0) , projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021, with recruitment from 2016 onwards assumed to be below average, fixed at the average of 2011-2015 levels. The assessment suggests that stock status was as low as 21% of SSB_0 in 2016. Under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2022 recommended biological catch (RBC) is 587 t, while the long-term yield (assuming continuation of low recruitment) is 591 t. The average RBC over the three-year period 2022-2024 is 581 t.

This assessment has seen a continuation of below average recruitment noted in the last three assessments with the last 12 years of estimated recruitment all below average. This continuation of below average recruitment resulted in the base case for this assessment moving to low recruitments projected forward from 2016. This change reduced the severity of retrospective patterns observed in previous assessments.

Tiger Flathead

This chapter presents results of fixed catch projections for Tiger Flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) to provide information on possible projected stock status in light of changes to both catches and CPUE following the 2019 Tiger Flathead stock assessment.

Updated data used from the 2019 assessment, including preliminary catch (combined Commonwealth and state catch) for 2019-2020, estimated 2021 catch and updated CPUE series to the end of 2020 were included in this analysis. Updates to age and length composition data were not available and were not included. These updates to catch and CPUE alone resulted in a revision downwards to the 2020 stock status, from 34% in the last stock assessment to 32% in this analysis. These changes are due to revisions to the catches (2017-2021) and to the revised CPUE series, which has a downturn at the end of the time series (2019-2020) for the Danish seine CPUE. The eastern trawl and Tasmanian trawl CPUE series do not show the same downturn at the end of the CPUE series as Danish seine, with both trawl CPUE relatively flat in the period 2019-2020. Projecting forward to 2022 takes the stock status to 35% at the start of 2022, and this is expected to recover to 37% at the start of 2025, assuming that the RBC is caught in 2023 and 2024 and there is average recruitment from 2017 onwards. Changes to the projected stock status when the 2019 base case is updated are a consistent 1% reduction in stock status in the period 2020-2025, assuming the RBC is caught each year.

KEYWORDS: fishery management, southern and eastern scalefish and shark fishery, stock assessment, trawl fishery, non-trawl fishery

2. Background

The Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery (SESSF) is a Commonwealth-managed, multispecies and multi-gear fishery that catches over 80 species of commercial value and is the main provider of fresh fish to the Sydney and Melbourne markets. Precursors of this fishery have been operating for more than 85 years. Catches are taken from both inshore and offshore waters, as well as offshore seamounts, and the fishery extends from Fraser Island in Queensland to south west Western Australia.

Management of the SESSF is based on a mixture of input and output controls, with over 20 commercial species or species groups currently under quota management. For the previous South East Fishery (SEF), there were 17 species or species groups managed using TACs. Five of these species had their own species assessment groups (SAGs) – Orange Roughy (ORAG), Eastern Gemfish (EGAG), Blue Grenadier (BGAG), Blue Warehou (BWAG), and Redfish (RAG). The assessment groups comprise scientists, fishers, managers and (sometimes) conservation members, meeting several times in a year, and producing an annual stock assessment report based on quantitative species assessments. The previous Southern Shark Fishery (SSF), with its own assessment group (SharkRAG), harvested two main species (Gummy and School Shark), but with significant catches of Saw Shark and Elephantfish.

In 2003, these assessment groups were restructured and their terms of reference redefined. Part of the rationale for the amalgamation of the previous separately managed fisheries was to move towards a more ecosystem-based system of fishery management (EBFM) for this suite of fisheries, which overlap in area and exploit a common set of species. The restructure of the assessment groups was undertaken to better reflect the ecological system on which the fishery rests. To that end, the assessment group structure now comprises:

- SESSFRAG (an umbrella assessment group for the whole SESSF)
- South East Resource Assessment Group (slope, shelf and deep water species)
- Shark Resource Assessment Group (shark species)
- Great Australian Bight Resource Assessment Group (GAB species)

Each of the depth-related assessment groups is responsible for undertaking stock assessments for a suite of key species, and for reporting on the status of those species to SESSFRAG. The plan for the Resource Assessment Groups (South East, GAB and Shark RAGs) is to focus on suites of species, rather than on each species in isolation. This approach has helped to identify common factors affecting these species (such as environmental conditions), as well as consideration of marketing and management factors on key indicators such as catch rates.

The quantitative assessments produced annually by the Resource Assessment Groups are a key component of the TAC setting process for the SESSF. For assessment purposes, stocks of the SESSF currently fall under a Tier system whereby those with better quality data and more robust assessments fall under Tier 1, while those with less reliable available information are in Tiers 4 and 5. To support the assessment work of the four Resource Assessment Groups, the aims of the work conducted in this report were to develop new assessments if necessary (under all Tier levels), and update and improve existing ones for priority species in the SESSF.

3. Need

A stock assessment that includes the most up-to-date information and considers a range of hypotheses about the resource dynamics and the associated fisheries is a key need for the management of a resource. In particular, the information contained in a stock assessment is critical for selecting harvest strategies and setting Total Allowable Catches.

4. Objectives

These Objectives include a description of the SESSFRAG agreed changes to the assessment schedule and may differ from the objectives in the original contract:

- Provide quantitative and qualitative species assessments in support of the four SESSFRAG assessment groups, including RBC calculations within the SESSF harvest strategy framework
- 2020: Provide Tier 1 assessments for Gummy Shark, Eastern Redfish and School Whiting; Tier 4 assessments for John Dory, Mirror Dory, Ocean Perch, OreoBasket, Ribaldo, Royal Red Prawn, Sawshark and Silver Trevally; and Tier 5 for Blue-eye Trevalla
- 2021: Provide Tier 1 assessments for Eastern Orange Roughy, Blue Grenadier, Eastern Jackass Morwong and Silver Warehou; Tier 4 for Mirror Dory and Tier 5 for E/W Deepwater Shark

14. Tiger Flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) projections based on CPUE updates to 2020, estimated catch to 2021 and projected catch scenarios to 2025

Jemery Day

CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Castray Esplanade, Hobart TAS 7000, Australia

14.1 Executive Summary

This document presents results of fixed catch projections for Tiger Flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) to provide information on possible projected stock status in light of changes to both catches and CPUE following the 2019 Tiger Flathead stock assessment.

Updated data used from the 2019 assessment, including preliminary catch (combined Commonwealth and state catch) for 2019-2020, estimated 2021 catch and updated CPUE series to the end of 2020 were included in this analysis. Updates to age and length composition data were not available and were not included. These updates to catch and CPUE alone resulted in a revision downwards to the 2020 stock status, from 34% in the last stock assessment to 32% in this analysis. These changes are due to revisions to the catches (2017-2021) and to the revised CPUE series, which has a downturn at the end of the time series (2019-2020) for the Danish seine CPUE. The eastern trawl and Tasmanian trawl CPUE series do not show the same downturn at the end of the CPUE series as Danish seine, with both trawl CPUE relatively flat in the period 2019-2020. Projecting forward to 2022 takes the stock status to 35% at the start of 2022, and this is expected to recover to 37% at the start of 2025, assuming that the RBC is caught in 2023 and 2024 and there is average recruitment from 2017 onwards.

Changes to the projected stock status when the 2019 base case is updated are a consistent 1% reduction in stock status in the period 2020-2025, assuming the RBC is caught each year. If projections are made under a constant catch of 2,400 t, there is a lightly faster recovery of the stock status towards B_{40} , the target reference point for Tiger Flathead.

14.2 Previous assessment and changes to data

14.2.1 The fishery

Tiger flathead have been caught commercially in the south eastern region of Australia since the development of the trawl fishery in 1915. They are endemic to Australian waters and are caught mainly on the continental shelf and upper slope waters from northern NSW to Tasmania and through Bass Strait. Historical records (e.g. Fairbridge, 1948; Allen, 1989; Klaer, 2005) show that steam trawlers caught tiger flathead from 1915 to about 1960. A Danish seine trawl fishery developed in the 1930s (Allen, 1989) and continues to the present day. Modern diesel trawling commenced in the 1970s.

14.2.2 Biological parameters

As male and female tiger flathead have different growth patterns (females are substantially larger), a two-sex model has been used.

The parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth equation are estimated by sex within the model-fitting procedure from age-at-length data. This approach accounts for the impact of gear selectivity on the age-at-length data collected from the fishery and the impact of ageing error. Three growth parameters are estimated for females (CV, K and l_{min}), with only one growth parameter fixed ($l_{max} = 55.9$), with this valued based on the estimate of l_{∞} obtained by Punt (2005) by fitting von Bertalanffy growth curves to data from SESSF Zones 10 and 20 (NSW and eastern Bass Strait). An offset to K is estimated separately for males, with the other growth parameters using the same values as for female growth.

Estimates of the rate of natural mortality, M, reported in the literature vary from 0.21 to 0.46 yr⁻¹. This assessment uses a value of 0.27 yr⁻¹ as the base case estimate of M as used in the previous assessment (Day, 2019) and as previously agreed to by SERAG. Sensitivity to this value is tested. The steepness of the stock-recruitment relationship, h, is estimated by the model, and for the base case is estimated to be 0.72.

Female tiger flathead become sexually mature at about three years of age, which corresponds to a length of about 30 cm (Klaer, 2010). Maturity is modelled as a logistic function, with 50% maturity fixed at 30 cm. Fecundity-at-length is assumed to be proportional to weight-at-length.

The parameters of the length-weight relationship are the same as those used in the previous assessment $a=5.88 \times 10^{-6}$, b=3.31 (Day, 2019), with these parameters originally obtained by fitting von Bertalanffy growth curves to data from SESSF Zones 10 and 20, NSW and eastern Bass Strait (Punt, 2005).

14.2.3 Fleets

The assessment data for Tiger Flathead have been separated into five 'fleets', which represent one or more gear, regional, or temporal differences in the fishery. Landings data from eastern Tasmania were separated from the catches from the other regions in the east, because the length compositions of catches from this area indicate that it lands larger fish.

- 1. Steam trawl steam trawlers (1915 1961)
- 2. Danish seine Danish seine from NSW, eastern Victoria and Bass Strait (1929 2020)
- 3. Eastern trawl diesel otter trawlers from NSW, eastern Victoria and Bass Strait (1971 2020)
- 4. Tasmanian trawl diesel otter trawlers from eastern Tasmania (1985 2020)
- 5. Fishery Independent Survey (2008 2016)

14.2.4 Species composition for the "tiger flathead" assessment

The Commonwealth quota basket for "tiger flathead" actually comprises six separate CAAB codes (Thomson and Day 2019a). Two CAAB codes have commonly been used for the majority of the catch, usually well over 99%: tiger flathead (37296001) and generic (undifferentiated) flathead (37296000). While the use of these two codes has changed since the introduction of e-logs, both codes are thought to largely contain tiger flathead (*Platycephalus richardsoni*). The remaining four CAAB codes consist of toothy flathead, southern sand flathead, bluespotted flathead and southern bluespotted flathead. Of these, southern sand flathead catches ranged between 10 t and 20 t from 1985-1989 and less than 10 t since 1990. Catches of southern bluespotted flathead were 5 t in 1995, 1 t in 2017 and less than 1 t in all other years. Catches of southern sand flathead and bluespotted flathead were less than 1 t in all years. The Commonwealth catch of these four species which are not tiger flathead usually comprises

well less than 1% of the total Commonwealth catch. As such, the Commonwealth component of this catch is considered to be essentially tiger flathead catches.

State catches used in this assessment generally occur in shallower waters than Commonwealth and hence are more likely to contain sand flathead and bluespotted flathead. State catches from NSW, Victoria and Tasmania report tiger flathead separately from other flathead species and only tiger flathead catches are requested by CSIRO.

Small quantities (less than 2% of the total CDR in all years from 1985-2018, and usually less than 1%) of tiger flathead are reported in logbook catches from zones 40 (western Tasmania) and 50 (western Bass Strait). It seems that some of these records could be deepwater flathead (Thomson and Day 2019b), potentially misreported in the logbooks as tiger flathead. These western logbook catches are included in the total catch (the CDR), but are allocated to fleets as if these catches were taken in the east. The relative proportion of the catch by fleet (Danish seine, eastern trawl, Tasmanian trawl) for each year can only be obtained from the logbook records. However, the total Commonwealth catch comes from the CDR totals, as this is considered to be more accurate than the logbook totals. Hence the annual proportions of catch by (eastern) fleet are applied to the annual CDR (which includes western catches), but actually assumes all of the catch comes from the eastern fleets. Given the western catch is relatively small, this is unlikely to have a large impact, and follows the precedent used to distribute this (western) catch used in tiger flathead assessments in recent years.

14.2.5 *Previous assessment*

The most recent full quantitative stock assessment for Tiger Flathead using data up to 2018 was performed in 2019 (Day, 2019) using Stock Synthesis version SS-V3.30.14.05, (Methot et al., 2018).

14.2.6 Landed catches

A landed catch history for tiger flathead, separated into the four 'fleets', is available for all years from 1915 to 2018 (Table 14.1, Figure 14.1 and Figure 14.2). Landings from the FIS fleet were assumed to be zero, with the actual FIS catch included in the scaling up of logbook catches to landed catches.

Klaer (2005) describes the sources of information used to construct the historical landed catch record for each of the fleets to 1986. Quotas were introduced into the fishery in 1992, and from then onwards, records of landed catches as well as estimated catches from the logbook are available. The landings data give a more accurate measure of the landed catch than do the logbook data, but the logbook data contain more detail. For example, it is usually possible to separate logbook records, but not landing records, by fleet. The logbook catches for each fleet from 1992 onwards have been scaled up by the ratio of landed catches to logbook catches in each year (Thomson, 2002). Prior to 1992, the unscaled logbook catches are used.

In 2007 the quota year was changed from calendar year to the year extending from 1 May to 30 April, however the assessment is based on calendar years. All catches for recent years continue to be those made by calendar year, which may conflict with the fishing year TACs.

Small quantities of tiger flathead are caught in state waters. NSW state catches have been added to the eastern trawl fleet, Victorian state catches added to the Danish seine fleet and Tasmanian state catches have been added to the Tasmanian fleet.

In order to calculate the Recommended Biological Catch (RBC) for 2022, it is necessary to estimate the Commonwealth calendar year catch for 2021. The TAC (Table 14.2) was reduced in 2020 and increased closer to the 2019 TAC in 2021. For simplicity, catches by fleet in 2021 were assumed to be the same as catches by fleet in 2020.



Figure 14.1. Total landed catch of tiger flathead by fleet (stacked) from 1915-2020.



Figure 14.2. Total landed catch of tiger flathead by fleet from 1915-2020.

Table 14.1. Total retained catches (tonnes) of tiger flathead per fleet for calendar years from 1915-2021, used in the 2021 assessment update. Catches listed in bold (2017-2021) indicate updated catches, compared to the catches used in the 2019 assessment

Year	Fleet				Year	Fleet				Year	Fleet			
	St	D	Е	Tas		St	D	Е	Tas		St	D	Е	Tas
	Trawl	Seine	Trawl	Irawl		Irawl	Seine	Irawl	Trawl	100-	Irawl	Seine	Trawl	Irawl
1915	371	0	0	0	1951	583	1,625	0	0	1987	0	1,358	1,109	6
1916	373	0	0	0	1952	769	1,499	0	0	1988	0	1,177	1,263	116
1917	432	0	0	0	1953	517	2,235	0	0	1989	0	1,189	1,318	128
1918	671	0	0	0	1954	366	1,737	0	0	1990	0	591	1,425	178
1919	1,151	0	0	0	1955	211	1,932	0	0	1991	0	/46	1,461	166
1920	931	0	0	0	1956	157	1,868	0	0	1992	0	1,019	1,080	170
1921	1,297	0	0	0	1957	139	1,459	0	0	1993	0	516	962	194
1922	840	0	0	0	1958	68	1,138	0	0	1994	0	626	982	178
1923	796	0	0	0	1959	32	1,467	0	0	1995	0	564	1,189	139
1924	1,356	0	0	0	1960	15	2,206	0	0	1996	0	711	1,265	114
1925	1,969	0	0	0	1961	9	1,974	0	0	1997	0	1,023	1,542	175
1926	2,167	0	0	0	1962	0	1,742	0	0	1998	0	905	1,700	186
1927	2,735	0	0	0	1963	0	3,745	0	0	1999	0	1,873	1,520	248
1928	3,277	0	0	0	1964	0	3,707	0	0	2000	0	1,286	2,006	349
1929	3,768	102	0	0	1965	0	3,322	0	0	2001	0	1,269	1,612	115
1930	3,329	330	0	0	1966	0	2,769	0	0	2002	0	1,305	1,731	236
1931	2,932	4	0	0	1967	0	2,912	0	0	2003	0	1,446	1,957	270
1932	2,642	385	0	0	1968	0	2,355	0	0	2004	0	1,418	1,658	522
1933	2,456	44	0	0	1969	0	3,289	0	0	2005	0	1,307	1,516	476
1934	2,278	276	0	0	1970	0	2,667	0	0	2006	0	1,132	1,526	359
1935	2,514	270	0	0	1971	0	1,793	286	0	2007	0	1,488	1,368	223
1936	2,712	872	0	0	1972	0	1,981	491	0	2008	0	1,487	1,705	255
1937	2,912	637	0	0	1973	0	2,397	490	0	2009	0	1,358	1,408	163
1938	2,924	725	0	0	1974	0	1,493	369	0	2010	0	1,359	1,458	175
1939	2,185	1,035	0	0	1975	0	1,367	827	0	2011	0	1,300	1,435	214
1940	815	1,108	0	0	1976	0	900	712	0	2012	0	1,560	1,516	217
1941	403	1,255	0	0	1977	0	977	522	0	2013	0	1,103	995	287
1942	167	225	0	0	1978	0	836	446	0	2014	0	1,352	1,244	239
1943	223	317	0	0	1979	0	928	520	0	2015	0	1,476	1,248	348
1944	315	2,624	0	0	1980	0	851	609	0	2016	0	1,671	1,126	422
1945	953	2,168	0	0	1981	0	418	877	0	2017	0	1,386	893	260
1946	1,088	1,425	0	0	1982	0	615	930	0	2018	0	1,110	926	264
1947	884	1,193	0	0	1983	0	889	950	0	2019	0	1,127	796	224
1948	735	1,767	0	0	1984	0	890	978	0	2020	0	1,096	819	342
1949	330	804	0	0	1985	0	890	978	30	2021*	0	1,096	819	362
1950	310	1,095	0	0	1986	0	892	1,005	26					

*2021 catches are estimated

Year	TAC
	Agreed
1992	3000
1993	3000
1994	3500
1995	3500
1996	3500
1997	3500
1998	3500
1999	3500
2000	3500
2001	3500
2002	3500
2003	3500
2004	3500
2005	3150
2006	3000
2007	3015
2008/09	2850
2009/10	2850
2010/11	2750
2011/12	2750
2012/13	2750
2013/14	2750
2014/15	2878
2015/16	2860
2016/17	2882
2017/18	2712
2018/19	2507
2019/20	2468
2020/21	2010
2021/22	2333

Table 14.2. Total allowable catch (t) from 1992 to 2021/22.

14.2.7 Catch rate indices

A standardised catch rate (CPUE) index is available for the historical steam trawl fleet for the years 1919-23, 1937-42, and 1952-57 (Klaer, 2006; Table 14.3). An unstandardised catch rate index for early Danish seine has been used in tiger flathead assessments since Cui *et al.* (2004) (Table 14.4).

Catch and effort data from the SEF1 logbook database were standardised using GLMs to obtain indices of relative abundance (Sporcic 2021b; Table 14.5) from the period 1986-2020 for recent Danish seine, eastern and Tasmanian trawl fleets.

Abundance indices from the Fishery Independent Survey from 2008-2016 were also used, separated into zones 10 and 20, to match the eastern trawl fleet, and zone 30, to match the Tasmanian trawl fleet (Table 14.6). These abundance indices use the FIS3 abundance index (Sporcic et al., 2019) which reconditions the original FIS abundance index, as used in the 2016 assessment and all previous SESSF stock assessments which included FIS abundance indices, and accounts for within year variation in catch rates.

Year	Value	CV	
1919	1.618	0.31	
1920	1.732	0.31	
1921	1.806	0.31	
1922	1.758	0.31	
1923	1.646	0.31	
1937	0.635	0.31	
1938	0.749	0.31	
1939	0.723	0.31	
1940	0.611	0.31	
1941	0.618	0.31	
1942	0.401	0.31	
1952	0.262	0.31	
1953	0.208	0.31	
1954	0.232	0.31	
1955	0.219	0.31	
1956	0.208	0.31	
1957	0.169	0.31	

Table 14.3. Standardised catch rates for the steam trawl fleet (Klaer 2006).

Table 14.4. Unstandardised catch rates for the early Danish seine fleet.

Year	Value	CV
1950	38.7	0.33
1951	27.6	0.33
1952	31.8	0.33
1953	52.0	0.33
1954	34.4	0.33
1955	47.4	0.33
1956	46.5	0.33
1957	32.1	0.33
1958	22.5	0.33
1959	28.7	0.33
1960	43.6	0.33
1965	38.2	0.33
1966	41.5	0.33
1967	62.5	0.33
1968	61.2	0.33
1969	77.8	0.33
1970	67.1	0.33
1971	69.9	0.33
1972	114.0	0.33
1973	88.0	0.33
1974	58.1	0.33
1975	56.6	0.33
1976	41.9	0.33
1977	55.5	0.33
1978	51.9	0.33

Table	14.5.	Standardi	sed catch	rates for the	e Danish	seine,	eastern	and Ta	asmanian	diesel tr	awl fleets	from 1	1986-
2018.	The c	oefficient	of variatio	on is initiall	y set at a	value	equal to	the ro	ot mean s	squared o	deviation f	rom a	loess
fit (Sp	orcic,	, 2021a, S _l	porcic 202	21b).									

Year	Fleet					
	D Seine	CV	E Trawl	CV	Tas Trawl	CV
1986	1.1600	0.170	0.8046	0.143	0.9589	0.189
1987	1.6316	0.170	1.0722	0.143	0.5620	0.189
1988	1.7890	0.170	1.1740	0.143	0.9849	0.189
1989	1.5506	0.170	1.1741	0.143	0.7217	0.189
1990	1.0414	0.170	1.3964	0.143	0.7263	0.189
1991	1.4126	0.170	1.3118	0.143	0.6821	0.189
1992	1.5151	0.170	1.0357	0.143	0.6524	0.189
1993	0.9376	0.170	1.0502	0.143	0.6081	0.189
1994	0.8076	0.170	0.7624	0.143	0.6355	0.189
1995	0.8295	0.170	0.8049	0.143	0.7174	0.189
1996	0.7771	0.170	0.7196	0.143	0.6519	0.189
1997	1.0101	0.170	0.7199	0.143	0.8053	0.189
1998	0.8502	0.170	0.7611	0.143	0.9640	0.189
1999	1.2371	0.170	0.9197	0.143	1.0797	0.189
2000	0.9221	0.170	1.0110	0.143	0.8747	0.189
2001	0.8649	0.170	0.9704	0.143	0.7383	0.189
2002	1.0208	0.170	1.0535	0.143	1.3196	0.189
2003	1.0597	0.170	1.0396	0.143	1.3586	0.189
2004	1.0418	0.170	0.9042	0.143	1.8548	0.189
2005	1.0551	0.170	0.7789	0.143	1.6896	0.189
2006	1.0383	0.170	0.9428	0.143	1.3682	0.189
2007	1.2495	0.170	1.1483	0.143	1.1167	0.189
2008	1.1203	0.170	1.2105	0.143	1.0469	0.189
2009	1.1575	0.170	1.1215	0.143	1.0185	0.189
2010	1.0486	0.170	1.0799	0.143	1.0148	0.189
2011	0.9719	0.170	1.0645	0.143	0.9582	0.189
2012	0.9248	0.170	1.1676	0.143	1.2184	0.189
2013	0.6676	0.170	0.8824	0.143	1.1774	0.189
2014	0.7186	0.170	1.0361	0.143	1.3689	0.189
2015	0.7132	0.170	1.1682	0.143	1.2842	0.189
2016	0.7418	0.170	1.0666	0.143	1.0493	0.189
2017	0.7159	0.170	0.8804	0.143	1.1820	0.189
2018	0.5127	0.170	0.8825	0.143	0.8325	0.189
2019	0.4662	0.170	0.9411	0.143	0.8498	0.189
2020	0.4392	0.170	0.9436	0.143	0.9287	0.189

Table 14.6. FIS3 derived abundance indices for tiger flathead with corresponding coefficient of variation (cv) eastern trawl fleet (zones 10 and 20); and Tasmanian trawl fleet (zone 30). The coefficient of variation is initially set at a value equal to the root mean squared deviation from a loess fit (Sporcic, 2019a, Sporcic 2019b).

Year	FIS East		FIST Tas	
	Z 10, 20	CV	Z 30	CV
2008	11496.27	0.23	6019.18	0.07
2010	8585.84	0.23	7868.28	0.07
2012	16344.18	0.23	7808.31	0.07
2014	9574.55	0.23	9102.49	0.07
2016	8500.62	0.23	12961.75	0.07

In this stock synthesis assessment, the coefficient of variation for the more recent abundance indices (CPUE from recent Danish seine, eastern and Tasmanian trawl fleets and both FIS3 abundance series) is initially set to a value equal to the root mean squared deviation from a loess fit (Sporcic, 2021a, 2021b) and additional variance is estimated for each abundance index to tune the input and output variances.

14.2.8 Model structure for projected catch scenarios

The same model structure and assumptions described in the 2019 assessment (Day, 2019) are used for the projected catch scenarios presented here. Changes include updating to the latest version of Stock Synthesis, SS-V3.30.17.00 (Methot et al., 2021), using preliminary catches for 2019, 2020 and 2021 and updating the Danish seine, eastern trawl and Tasmanian trawl CPUE series up to the end of 2020. All other data used (discard estimates, length composition data, conditional age-at-length data, ageing error matrix) in these projected catch scenarios are identical to those data used in the 2019 assessment.

14.3 Alternative catch scenarios

14.3.1 Update catch from 2017 to 2021 and update CPUE to 2020

Initial data updates to the 2019 base case model were performed in a stepwise manner, with four scenarios considered in this data update section.

- 1. 2019 base case (FLT2019)
- 2. Update from SS- V3.30.14.05to SS-V3.30.17.00
- 3. Update catch to 2020 (FLT2021UpdateCatch)
- 4. Update CPUE to 2020, with updated catch retained (FLT2021CatchRBC)
- 5. Update CPUE to 2020, with updated catch retained, with fixed projected catches at 2,400t (including both retained and estimated discarded catch) from 2022-2025 (FLT2021Catch2400)

Under the first four scenarios, projections are made under average recruitment, with future (projected) catches set to the RBC. The first two scenarios, based on the 2019 base case, project catches from 2020 onwards, set to the RBC. Scenarios 3 and 4, which feature fixed catches until 2021, project catches from 2022 onwards at the RBC. Scenario 5, which also feature fixed catches until 2021, project catches from 2022 onwards, with the total catch (retained plus discarded) set to 2400 t from 2022-2025 and then set to the RBC from 2026 onwards.

The update to SS-V3.30.17.00 (scenario 2) made no discernible difference, so the results of this scenario are not shown here. Similarly, the difference for scenario 3 made little difference, so are not shown here.

The values of the projected catches for scenarios 1, 4 and 5, and the subsequent (calculated) RBC, are listed in Table 14.7 for the period 2020-2025. These values are calculated from 2020 onwards, for the 2019 base case, and calculated or fixed from 2022 onwards, for the scenario with updated catch and CPUE, with all calculated values shown in bold in Table 14.7. Similarly the calculated stock status at the beginning of each year from 2020-2025, assuming average recruitment, is shown in Table 14.8 and

displayed in Figure 14.5, showing the relative stock status over the full time series from 1915-2025 and in Figure 14.6, showing the relative stock status from 2010-2025.

Table 14.7. Fixed and RBC catch projections (including discards) for 2020-2025 after applying these projected catches (under average recruitment) for the 2019 base case, the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario, and the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario with fixed catch projections. RBC catch projections are shown in bold.

		Catch	
Year	FLT2019	FLT2021CatchRBC	FLT2021Catch2400
2020	2,334	2,428	2,428
2021	2,648	2,423	2,281
2022	2,706	2,593	2,400
2023	2,755	2,675	2,400
2024	2,796	2,730	2,400
2025	2,830	2,777	2,400

Table 14.8. Projected stock status for 2020-2025 following application of fixed and RBC catch projections (including discards) for 2020-2025 after applying these projected catches and RBCs from Table 14.7 (from average recruitment) for the 2019 base case, the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario, and the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario with fixed catch projections.

	Stock status (%)		
Year	FLT2019	FLT2021CatchRBC	FLT2021Catch2400
2020	33.7	32.2	32.2
2021	35.2	33.5	33.5
2022	36.1	34.9	35.2
2023	36.8	35.9	36.7
2024	37.4	36.7	38.0
2025	37.9	37.3	39.3



Figure 14.3. Relative spawning biomass (1915-2025) for the 2019 base case, the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario, and the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario with fixed catch projections.



Figure 14.4. Relative spawning biomass (2010-2025) for the 2019 base case, the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario, and the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario with fixed catch projections.

Recruitment deviations for the 2019 base case, the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario, and the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario with fixed catch projections are shown in Figure 14.7. This shows that recruitment is set to average recruitment from 2016 for all three scenarios. Note that the recent estimated recruitment events are revised downwards, and more so in 2016, with the addition of the updated CPUE. This revision to the recruitment is influenced by the updated CPUE, which shows a decline in the most recent data for the Danish seine fleet, with subsequent improvements to the fit to the updated CPUE.

Updating both the catch data and CPUE results in minor changes to predicted spawning biomass. The relative stock status in 2023 is 37% for scenario 1 (after applying the RBC, given the projected stock status) compared to 36% for scenario 4 (catch and CPUE updated). The relative stock status in 2024 is 38% for scenario 1 (after applying the RBC, given the projected stock status) compared to 37% for scenario 4 (catch and CPUE updated).



Figure 14.5. Recruitment deviations (2010-2023) for the 2017 base case, the updated catch and updated CPUE scenarios (showing average recruitment).



Figure 14.6. Fits to the Danish seine CPUE series for the 2019 base case, the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario, and the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario with fixed catch projections.



Figure 14.7. Fits to the eastern trawl CPUE series for the 2019 base case, the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario, and the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario with fixed catch projections.



Figure 14.8. Fits to the Tasmanian trawl CPUE series for the 2019 base case, the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario, and the 2021 updated catch and CPUE scenario with fixed catch projections.

14.4 Acknowledgements

Age data was provided by Kyne Krusic-Golub (Fish Ageing Services), ISMP and AFMA logbook and CDR data were provided by John Garvey (AFMA). Mike Fuller, Paul Burch, Robin Thomson, Roy Deng, Franzis Althaus, Toni Cannard and Caroline Sutton (CSIRO) were all involved in preprocessing the data. Malcolm Haddon provided useful code for auto-balancing, Athol Whitten provided R code for organising plots. Geoff Tuck, Pia Bessell-Browne and Miriana Sporcic are thanked for helpful discussions on this work.

14.5 References

- Allen KR. 1989. Stock assessments for four species in the Southeastern trawl fishery. SET Report held by BRS, Canberra.
- Cui G Punt AE Cope JM Knuckey IA Klaer NL Fuller ME and Smith ADM. 2004. Quantitative stock assessment for tiger flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) 2004. In: Stock assessment for the south east and southern shark fishery. Tuck, G.N. and Smith, A.D.M. (Eds.). FRDC report 2001/005. Chapter 11, pp 373-410.
- Day J. 2019. Tiger flathead (Neoplatycephalus richardsoni) stock assessment using data to 2018. pp 97 - 189 in Tuck, G.N. (ed.) 2020. Stock Assessment for the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery 2018 and 2019. Part 1, 2019. Australian Fisheries Management Authority and CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. 353p.
- Fairbridge WS. 1948. The effect of the war on the East Australian Trawl Fishery. Journal for the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research 21: 75–98.
- Klaer N. 2005. Towards an agreed catch history for tiger flathead in the South East Fishery. Document presented to the 9 September 2005 meeting of SESSF Shelf RAG.
- Klaer NL. 2006. Changes in the species composition of fish communities on the SE Australian continental shelf from 1915 to 1960. PhD Thesis, University of Canberra.
- Klaer N. 2010. Tiger flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) stock assessment based on data up to 2009. Document presented to the October meeting of SESSF Shelf RAG. 41 pp.
- Methot RD Wetzel CR Taylor I and Doering K. 2018. Stock Synthesis User Manual Version 3.30.12. NOAA Fisheries, Seattle, WA USA. 230pp.
- Methot RD Wetzel CR Taylor I Doering KL and Johnson KF. 2021. Stock Synthesis User Manual Version 3.30.17. NOAA Fisheries, Seattle, WA USA. 238pp.
- Punt AE. 2005. Updated stock assessment of tiger flathead (*Neoplatycephalus richardsoni*) based on data up to 2005. Report to SESSF Shelf RAG, 2005.
- Sporcic M 2019a. Executive Summary: Draft CPUE standardizations for selected SESSF Species (data to 2018). CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. Unpublished report to SESSFRAG Data Meeting. 12 pp.
- Sporcic M 2019b. Draft CPUE standardizations for selected SESSF Species (data to 2018). CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart. Unpublished report to SESSFRAG Data Meeting. 332 pp.
- Sporcic M Day J and Peel D. 2019. A re-examination of underlying model assumptions and resulting abundance indices of the Fishery Independent Survey (FIS) in Australia's SESSF. CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere. FRDC Final report 2017-010. Hobart. 137 pp.

- Thomson R and Day J. 2019a. What is in the flathead basket? Confidential information document provided to SESSFRAG Data Meeting, 20-22 August 2019, Hobart. 5 pp.
- Thomson R and Day J. 2019b. Are there any deepwater flathead in the east or tiger flathead in the west? Confidential information document provided to SESSFRAG Data Meeting, 20-22 August 2019, Hobart. 9 pp.

15. Benefits

The results of this project have had a direct bearing on the management of the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery. Direct benefits to the commercial fishing industry in the SESSF have arisen from improvements to, or the development of, assessments under the various Tier Rules of the Commonwealth Harvest Strategy Policy for selected quota and non-quota species. Information from the stock assessments has fed directly into the TAC setting process for SESSF quota species. As specific and agreed harvest strategies are being developed for SESSF species (a process required by and agreed to under EPBC approval for the fishery), improvements in the assessments developed under this project have had direct and immediate impacts on quota levels or other fishery management measures (in the case of non-quota species).

Participation by the project's staff on the SESSF Resource Assessment Groups has enabled the production of critical assessment reports and clear communication of the reports' results to a wide audience (including managers, industry). Project staff's scientific advice on quantitative and qualitative matters is also clearly valued.

The stock assessments presented in this report have provided managers and industry greater confidence when making key commercial and sustainability decisions for species in the SESSF. These assessments have provided the most up-to-date information, in terms of data and methods, to facilitate the management of the Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark Fishery.

16. Conclusion

The 2021 assessment of the stock status of key Southern and Eastern Scalefish and Shark fishery species is based on the methods presented in this report. Documented are the latest quantitative assessments (Tier 1) for key quota species (Blue Grenadier, Silver Warehou, Eastern Jackass Morwong and Eastern Zone Orange Roughy), projection updates for School Whiting and Tiger Flathead, as well as CPUE standardisations for shelf, slope, deepwater and shark species, Tier 4 and Tier 5 analyses. Typical assessment outputs provided indications of current stock status and an application of the Commonwealth Harvest Strategy framework. This framework is based on a set of assessment methods and associated harvest control rules, with the decision to apply a particular combination dependent on the type and quality of information available to determine stock status (Tiers 1 to 5).

The assessment outputs from this project are a critical component of the management and TAC setting process for these fisheries. The results from these studies are being used by SESSFRAG, industry and management to help manage the fishery in accordance with agreed sustainability objectives.

Stock status and Recommended Biological Catch (RBC) conclusions (Tier 1):

For Blue Grenadier, the estimated virgin female spawning biomass (SSB_0) is 37,445 tonnes and the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 155% of SSB_0 (projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021). The 2022 recommended biological catch (RBC) under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule is 23,777 t, with 245 t estimated discards (23,532 t retained). The long-term RBC is 7,100 t, with 183 t discards.

For Eastern Jackass Morwong, the base-case assessment estimates that the projected 2022 spawning stock biomass will be 15% of SSB_0 , with recruitment from 2016 onwards projected using a low recruitment scenario, using the average of the ten most recently estimated recruitment deviations, from 2006-2015. Under the agreed 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2022 RBC is 0 t, with the long-term yield (assuming low recruitment in the future) of 91 t.

For Eastern Orange Roughy, the median estimate of *SSB*₀from the MCMC analysis was 38,924 t, slightly lower than the MPD estimate of 40,479 t. The current 2022 female spawning biomass is estimated to be 11,644 t from the MCMC and 13,126 t from the MPD. Relative spawning biomass in 2022 is estimated at 30.0% of unfished levels from the MCMC and 32.4% of unfished levels from the MPD. The RBC for 2022 from the MCMC analysis is 681 t, lower than the MPD estimate for 2022 of 944 t. The average RBC over the next three years (2022-2024) is 737 t from the MCMC analysis and 1,025 t from the MPD.

For Silver Warehou, the assessment estimates that the projected 2022 stock status will be 29% of SSB_0 , projected assuming 2020 catches in 2021, with recruitment from 2016 onwards assumed to be below average, fixed at the average of 2011-2015 levels. The assessment suggests that stock status was as low as 21% of SSB_0 in 2016. Under the 20:35:48 harvest control rule, the 2022 RBC is 587 t, while the long-term yield (assuming continuation of low recruitment) is 591 t.

For School Whiting, if the default (proxy) target reference point (48%) used in the SESSF harvest control rule, and specifically as used by AFMA for School Whiting, is reduced to 40%, a modified 20:35:40 harvest control rule can be applied. This lower target allows the stock to be fished to a lower target biomass (40% of SSB_0). Under a revised 40% target, the 2021 RBC would be 2,753 t.

For Tiger Flathead, updates to catch and CPUE resulted in a revision downwards to the 2020 stock status, from 34% in the last stock assessment to 32% in this analysis. These changes are due to revisions to the catches (2017-2021) and to the revised CPUE series, which has a downturn at the end of the time series (2019-2020) for the Danish seine CPUE. The eastern trawl and Tasmanian trawl CPUE series do not show the same downturn at the end of the CPUE series as Danish seine, with both trawl CPUE relatively flat in the period 2019-2020. Projecting forward to 2022 takes the stock status to 35% at the start of 2022, and this is expected to recover to 37% at the start of 2025, assuming that the RBC is caught in 2023 and 2024 and there is average recruitment from 2017 onwards

17. Appendix: Intellectual Property

No intellectual property has arisen from the project that is likely to lead to significant commercial benefits, patents or licenses.

18. Appendix: Project Staff

Franzis Althaus	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
Pia Bessell-Browne	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
Paul Burch	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
Jemery Day	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
Roy Deng	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
Mike Fuller	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
André Punt	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
Miriana Sporcic	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
Robin Thomson	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	
Geoff Tuck	CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart, Tasmania	